· In re: Oh et al.

Serial No. 10/657,490 Filed: September 8, 2003

Page 3 of 5

In the Drawings:

Attached please find a replacement Sheet 1, including Figures 1 and 2, adding the notation "Prior Art".

Attachments:

Replacement Sheet 1

Annotated Sheet 1

In re: Oh et al.

Serial No. 10/657,490 Filed: September 8, 2003

Page 4 of 5

REMARKS

Applicants submit the present Amendment responsive to the Office Action and in light of the Interview with the Examiner on February 28, 2005. Applicants submit this application is in form for allowance for the reasons discussed below.

Interview Summary:

Applicants' undersigned representative appreciates the courtesy extended by the Examiner of providing a telephone interview in this matter on February 28, 2005. During the telephone interview, the objection to Claim 1 as being unclear was discussed. The Examiner requested time to consider Applicants' explanation of Claim 1 but indicated that this response could be filed assuming the objection to Claim 1 had been overcome unless the Examiner informed the Applicants otherwise by March 7, 2005. No prior art was discussed.

Objections to the Drawings:

Figures 1 and 2 are objected to as lacking the notation "Prior Art." Office Action, p. 2. A replacement Sheet 1, including Figures 1 and 2, each with the requested Prior Art notation, is provided herewith. In addition, per the Examiner's request in the Office Action, an annotated Sheet 1 showing the notation "Prior Art" in red ink is also provided herewith. Accordingly, Applicants request approval of the changes and withdrawal of the drawing objection as obviated.

Objections to the Specification:

The specification is objected to for a typographical error at page 10, line 30, in the reference to "lower electrode 131," which should state "lower electrode 127." Office Action, p. 2. The requested correction has been made above. Accordingly, Applicants request withdrawal of the objection to the specification as obviated.

Claim Objection:

Claim 1 is objected to as unclear. Office Action, p. 2. As noted above, Applicants disagreed with the objection and discussed the same with the Examiner during the Interview of February 28, 2005. As the Examiner has not contacted the undersigned representative to

In re: Oh et al.

Serial No. 10/657,490 Filed: September 8, 2003

Page 5 of 5

indicate that the withdrawal of the objection has been re-considered, Applicants understand that the objection to Claim 1 has been overcome and will be withdrawn. Should the Examiner still have a concern with Claim 1, Applicants request the courtesy of a phone call to the Applicants' undersigned representative at (919) 854-1400 to resolve any outstanding issues.

Conclusion

Applicants respectfully submit that, for the reasons discussed above, this application is in form for allowance. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request allowance of all the pending claims and passing this application to issue.

Respectfully submitted

Robert W. Glatz

Registration No. 36,811

Myers Bigel Sibley & Sajovec P.O. Box 37428 Raleigh, NC 27627 (919) 854-1400 phone (919) 854-1401 fax

Certificate of Mailing under 37 CFR 1.8

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop Amendment, Commissioner for Patents, PO Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on March 17, 2005.

Carey Gregory 424768



FIG. 1 PRIOR ART



